IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FORT SMITH DIVISION

RENE A. GUZMAN PLAINTIFF

V. CIVIL NO. 2:20-cv-2145-PKH-MEF

ANDREW M. SAUL, Commissioner, Social Security Administration

**DEFENDANT** 

## MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Rene Guzman, Plaintiff, brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration ("Commissioner") denying her applications for disability insurance benefits ("DIB") and supplemental security income ("SSI"). (ECF No. 2). This matter is before the undersigned for report and recommendation.

The Commissioner filed an answer to Plaintiff's action on February 22, 2021, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence and were conclusive. (ECF No. 15). On April 19, 2021, having changed positions, the Commissioner filed a motion requesting that Plaintiff's case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g) to allow further administrative proceedings. (ECF Nos. 21, 22).

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency. The Fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of

Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); Shalala

v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 296 (1993).

Here, we find remand is appropriate to allow the Defendants to conduct further

administrative proceedings regarding this matter. Therefore, we recommend granting the

Commissioner's motion to remand and remanding the case to the Commissioner for further

administrative action pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g).

The parties have fourteen (14) days from receipt of this Report and Recommendation

in which to file written objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The failure to file timely

written objections may result in waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact. The parties

are reminded that objections must be both timely and specific to trigger de novo review by

the district court.

DATED this 3rd day of May 2021.

<u>/s/ Mark E. Ford</u>

HON. MARK E. FORD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2